

Two listing of binary words and Gray codes for 132-312 avoiding permutations

Masaya Tomie

Morioka University, Takizawa-shi, Iwate 020-0183, Japan (e-mail:
tomie@morioka-u.ac.jp)

Abstract

In this paper, we give two listings for binary words of given length. From this, we provide two Gray codes for 132-312-avoiding permutations of given size, in which two adjacent permutations differ by at most two adjacent transpositions and two adjacent transpositions jumps do not appear successively.

1 Introduction

For computer science, to generate objects in a combinatorial class efficiently such that each element is generated exactly once is an important problem. A common approach is to try to generate combinatorial objects such that successive elements differ only in a small way. A mathematical model of this approach is the *combinatorial Gray codes*. The term combinatorial Gray codes was first appeared in [6]. It is used to refer to any method for generating objects in a combinatorial class so that the successive objects differ in some pre-specified, usually small, way. There are many examples of minimal change listings of combinatorial objects and see Savage's survey paper [10].

In this paper, we construct two listings for the vertices of the *augmentation graph* introduced in [10] and provide two Gray codes for $S_n(312, 213)$, the 312-213 avoiding permutations of length n . There are several results about Gray codes for pattern avoiding permutations.

The most fundamental cases are the permutations of size n avoiding a single pattern of length three. In [5], Dukes et al. gave Gray codes for large families of pattern avoiding permutations. More precisely, they provided Gray codes for permutations avoiding a regular set of patterns. In particular, they constructed Gray codes for the set of all permutations of size n avoiding any pattern $p \in S_3$, where two consecutive permutations differ in four or five positions. In [1], Baril presented Gray codes for $S_n(321)$ and $S_n(312)$, where two consecutive permutations differ at most three positions and it is optimal for odd n . Their method is based on constructing generating trees [2].

Also, by the reverse and complement operations and their composition, there are five symmetry classes avoiding two pattern of length three, they are $S_n(123, 321)$, $S_n(123, 231)$, $S_n(231, 312)$, $S_n(123, 132)$ and $S_n(132, 312)$ [11].

The set $S_n(123, 321)$ is empty set for $n \geq 5$. Also it is straightforward to see that $S_n(123, 231)$ and $S_n(231, 312)$ have no Gray codes such that successive permutations differ at most k transpositions, where the constant k does not depend on n . For instance, consider $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1) \cdots 21n(n-1) \cdots (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2)(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1)$. Juarna and Vajnovszki gave a Gray code for $S_n(123, 132)$ in [7]. We give two Gray codes for the remaining case $S_n(132, 312)$, in which two adjacent elements differ by at most two adjacent transpositions and two adjacent transpositions jumps do not appear successively.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Permutations and pattern avoiding permutations

We use one-line notation, i.e., we denote a permutation $\omega \in S_n$ by the sequence $\omega(1)\omega(2)\cdots\omega(n)$, where S_n is the set of all permutations on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

For two permutations $\sigma = \sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_n$ and $\tau = \tau_1\tau_2\cdots\tau_n$, we call they differ by an adjacent transposition if and only if $\sigma_k = \tau_k$ for $k \neq i, i+1$, $\sigma_i = \tau_{i+1}$ and $\sigma_{i+1} = \tau_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. In other words, σ can be obtained from τ by changing adjacent entries. For example, 352641 and 325641 differ by an adjacent transposition, changing the second and the third entries.

Similarly, we call two permutations of same size σ and τ differ by two adjacent transpositions if and only if $\sigma \neq \tau$ and there exists a permutation θ such that σ and θ differ by an adjacent transposition and also θ and τ do. For example, 352641 and 325614 differ by two adjacent transpositions. We say that σ and τ differ by at most two adjacent transpositions if σ and τ differ by an adjacent transposition or two adjacent transpositions. One can also define when two permutations differ by at most k adjacent transpositions.

For $\omega = \omega_1\omega_2\cdots\omega_n \in S_n$ and $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2\cdots\pi_k \in S_k$ with $k < n$, we say that a permutation ω has a π -pattern if $st(\omega_{i_1}\omega_{i_2}\cdots\omega_{i_k}) = \pi_1\pi_2\cdots\pi_k$ for some $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$, where $st(\omega_{i_1}\omega_{i_2}\cdots\omega_{i_k})$ is a permutation in S_k defined by the following process: the smallest value of $\omega_{i_1}\omega_{i_2}\cdots\omega_{i_k}$ is replaced with 1, the second smallest value is replaced with 2, and so on. We call $st(\omega_{i_1}\omega_{i_2}\cdots\omega_{i_k})$ the *standardization* of $\omega_{i_1}\omega_{i_2}\cdots\omega_{i_k}$. If $st(\omega_{i_1}\omega_{i_2}\cdots\omega_{i_k}) \neq \pi_1\pi_2\cdots\pi_k$ for any $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$, we say that ω is a π -avoiding permutation. We denote the set of π -avoiding permutations of size n by $S_n(\pi)$ and also let $S_n(P)$ denote the set of permutations of size n avoiding each pattern in P .

The most popular results on pattern avoidance are the enumerations of permutations avoiding a pattern of length three, which is enumerated by the *Catalan numbers* [8] [9] [11]. For further information on pattern avoidance, see [3].

2.2 A few words from graph theory

In this section, we give several notations from graph theory, see Diestel's basic text [4]. A *graph* is a pair $G = (V, E)$ of sets such that $E \subset V \times V$. Sometimes we denote G for short. The elements of V is called *vertices* and that of E is called *edges*. For $U \subset V$, the *induced subgraph* on U is the graph with vertex set U and whose edge set consists of all of the edges in E that have both endpoints in U .

A *path* is a listing of vertices such that consecutive vertices are adjacent in the graph and the vertices are all distinct. The *length* of a path is the number of vertices minus one. For example, an

edge is a path with two vertices and its length equals 1. A *cycle* is a path such that the starting vertex and the ending vertex are adjacent. A graph is called *connected* if there are paths containing each pair of vertices. For a connected graph G and two vertices $u, v \in G$, the *distance* between u and v is the length of a shortest path from u to v and we denote it by $d_G(u, v)$. A *Hamiltonian path* (resp. *Hamiltonian cycle*) is a path (resp. cycle) that visits each vertex exactly once.

2.3 Gray code listing

A listing of a combinatorial object is a sequence such that each element appears exactly once. Let X, Y be combinatorial objects with $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ and $\mathbb{L}_X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$ and $\mathbb{L}_Y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ be listings of X and Y respectively. Put $\mathbb{L}_X \circ \mathbb{L}_Y := (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$, i.e., a *concatenation* of X and Y , which is a listing of $X \cup Y$.

3 A graph on binary sequences of given length

In this section, we recall the definition of the *augmentation graphs* introduced in [10]. In [10], the augmentation graph of rank n is defined on the subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. In this paper, we define a graph $G(n)$ on the set of all binary sequences of length n , which is isomorphic to augmentation graph.

Let $V(G(n)) := \{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \dots \epsilon_n \mid \epsilon_i = 0 \text{ or } 1, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, the set of vertices, and draw an edge between $\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \dots \epsilon_n$ and $\epsilon'_1 \epsilon'_2 \dots \epsilon'_n$ if

1. $\epsilon_1 \neq \epsilon'_1$ and $\epsilon_i = \epsilon'_i$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$ or
2. $\{\epsilon_i, \epsilon_{i+1}\} = \{\epsilon'_i, \epsilon'_{i+1}\} = \{0, 1\}$ and $\epsilon_i \neq \epsilon'_i$, $\epsilon_{i+1} \neq \epsilon'_{i+1}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $\epsilon_k = \epsilon'_k$ for $k \neq i, i+1$.

In other words, connect two vertices if and only if they only differ in the first positions or differ only by an interchange of a 0 and a 1 in adjacent positions.

Obviously, $G(n)$ has 2^n vertices.

Remark 3.1. The graph isomorphic to $G(n)$ is defined at Savage's survey paper [10] at section 2, which is called an *augmentation graph of size n* . To the best of our knowledge, Hamiltonian paths are not known for this graph.

Fig 1 shows a graph $G(3)$.

Definition 3.1. For a listing of $V(G(n))$, we denote it by $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{2^n})$, such that every distance of successive vertices is less than 2, we call that distance 2 jumps do not appear consecutively in the listing if there are no $2 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$ such that $d_{G(n)}(\alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_i) = d_{G(n)}(\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}) = 2$.

Definition 3.2. For $\epsilon = \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \dots \epsilon_m \in V(G(m))$ and $\eta, \mu \in \{0, 1\}$, define $\epsilon\eta := \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \dots \epsilon_m \eta$ (resp. $\epsilon\eta\mu := \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \dots \epsilon_m \eta\mu$) which is the concatenation of ϵ and η , (resp. ϵ , η and μ) and it is an element in $V(G(m+1))$ (resp. $V(G(m+2))$).




Figure 1: The graph $G(3)$

3.1 A listing which starts from $00 \cdots 0$ and ends $10 \cdots 0$

In this subsection, we construct a listing of the vertices of $G(n)$, which starts $00 \cdots 0$, all entries are 0, and ends $10 \cdots 0$, the first entry is 1 and the rest of entries are all 0. Though this listing is not Hamiltonian cycle, but similar to that.

Theorem 3.1. *For $n \geq 1$, there is a listing of $V(G(n))$*

$$(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{2^n}), \quad (1)$$

which satisfies:

(L1) *the first entry ϵ_1 is $00 \cdots 0$, all entries are 0, and the last entry ϵ_{2^n} is $10 \cdots 0$, the first entry is 1 and the rest of entries are all 0;*

(L2) *$d_{G(n)}(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_{i+1}) \leq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$;*

(L3) *if $d_{G(n)}(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_{i+1}) = 2$, then $d_{G(n)}(\epsilon_{i-1}, \epsilon_i) = d_{G(n)}(\epsilon_{i+1}, \epsilon_{i+2}) = 1$ for $2 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$.*

In other words, there is a listing which starts from $00 \cdots 0$ and ends at $11 \cdots 1$ such that every distance of successive elements is 1 or 2, which is (L2), and distance 2 jumps do not appear consecutively, which is L(3).

Proposition 3.1. *For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, suppose that there is a listing of $V(G(2k - 1))$*

$$(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{2^{2k-1}}) \quad (2)$$

which satisfies:

(A1) *$\epsilon_1 = 00 \cdots 00$, all entries are 0, and $\epsilon_{2^{2k-1}} = 10 \cdots 00$, first entry is 1 and the rest of entries are all 0;*

(A2) *every distance of successive elements is 1 or 2 and distance 2 jumps do not appear consecutively;*

(A3) *the element $00 \cdots 01$, the last entry is 1 and the rest of entries are all 0, appears next to $10 \cdots 01$, the first and the last entries are 1 and the rest of entries are all 0;*

(A4) *$\epsilon_{2^{2k-1}-1}$, the second from the last entry, is $001 \cdots 0$, the third entry is 1 and the rest of entries are all 0.*

Then there is a listing of $V(G(2k))$

$$(\boldsymbol{\eta}_1, \boldsymbol{\eta}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{\eta}_{2^{2k}}) \quad (3)$$

which satisfies:

(B1) $\boldsymbol{\eta}_1 = 00 \cdots 00$, all entries are 0, and $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{2^{2k}} = 10 \cdots 00$, first entry is 1 and the rest of entries are all 0;

(B2) every distance of successive elements is 1 or 2 and distance 2 jumps do not appear consecutively;

(B3) $001 \cdots 01$, the third and the last entries are 1 and the rest of entries are all 0, appears next to $100 \cdots 01$, the first and the last entries are 1 and the rest of entries are all 0;

(B4) $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{2^{2k}-1}$, the second from the last entry, is $001 \cdots 0$, the third entry is 1 and the rest of entries are all 0.

Proof. Let $\mathbb{L}_{2^{2k}-1} = (\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{2^{2k}-1})$ be a listing of $V(G(2k-1))$ which satisfies the conditions from (A1) to (A4). From (A3), we have $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i = 10 \cdots 01$, the first and the last entries are 1 and the rest of entries are all 0, and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{i+1} = 00 \cdots 01$, the last entry is 1 and the rest of entries are all 0 for some $2 \leq i \leq 2^{2k-1} - 2$. Remark that $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{2^{2k-1}-1} = 001 \cdots 0$, the third entry is 1 and the rest of entries are all 0.

Let

$$\mathbb{X}_1 := (\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1 0, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2 0, \dots, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i 0) \quad (4)$$

$$\mathbb{X}_2 := (\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{2^{2k-1}-1} 1, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{2^{2k-1}-1} 1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2 1, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1 1) \quad (5)$$

$$\mathbb{X}_3 := (\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{i+1} 0, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{i+2} 0, \dots, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{2^{2k-1}} 0) \quad (6)$$

and consider the listing $\mathbb{X}_1 \circ \mathbb{X}_2 \circ \mathbb{X}_3$. This listing is obviously a listing of $G(2k)$. Put $\mathbb{X}_1 \circ \mathbb{X}_2 \circ \mathbb{X}_3 = (\boldsymbol{\eta}_1, \boldsymbol{\eta}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{\eta}_{2^{2k}})$.

From the construction, we have $\boldsymbol{\eta}_1 = 00 \cdots 00$, $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{2^{2k}} = 10 \cdots 00$ and we see (B1).

The distance between the last entry of $\mathbb{X}_1$ and the first entry of $\mathbb{X}_2$ is 1 and that of between the last entry of $\mathbb{X}_2$ and the first entry of $\mathbb{X}_3$ is also 1, therefore every distance of successive elements is 1 or 2 and distance 2 jumps do not appear consecutively. Hence we obtain (B2).

The first entry of $\mathbb{X}_2$ is $100 \cdots 01$, the first and the last entries are 1 and the rest of entries are all 0. Because $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{2^{2k-1}-1} = 001 \cdots 0$, the third entry is 1 and the rest of entries are all 0, the second entry of $\mathbb{X}_2$ is $001 \cdots 01$, the third and the last entries are 1 and the rest of entries are all 0. The second from the last entry of $\mathbb{X}_3$ is $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{2^{2k}-1} = 001 \cdots 0$, the third entry is 1 and the rest of entries are all 0. Therefore, we obtain (B3) and (B4). This completes the proof. $\square$

Example 3.1. Put

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1 = 000, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2 = 010, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3 = 110, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_4 = 011, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_5 = 111, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_6 = 101, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_7 = 001, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_8 = 100.$$

The listing $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_8)$ satisfies from (A1) to (A4). Set

$$\mathbb{X}_1 := (\epsilon_1 0, \epsilon_2 0, \epsilon_3 0, \epsilon_4 0, \epsilon_5 0, \epsilon_6 0), \quad (7)$$

$$\mathbb{X}_2 := (\epsilon_8 1, \epsilon_7 1, \epsilon_6 1, \epsilon_5 1, \epsilon_4 1, \epsilon_3 1, \epsilon_2 1, \epsilon_1 1) \quad (8)$$

and

$$\mathbb{X}_3 := (\epsilon_7 0, \epsilon_8 0). \quad (9)$$

Then the resulting listing is

$$(0000, 0100, 1100, 0110, 1110, 1010, 1001, 0011, 1011, 1111, 0111, 1101, 0101, 0001, 0010, 1000) \quad (10)$$

and which satisfies from (B1) to (B4).

Proposition 3.2. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, suppose that there is a listing of $V(G(2k))$

$$(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{2^{2k}}) \quad (11)$$

which satisfies:

(B1) $\epsilon_1 = 00 \dots 00$, all entries are 0, and $\epsilon_{2^{2k}} = 10 \dots 00$, first entry is 1 and the rest of entries are all 0;

(B2) every distance of successive elements is 1 or 2 and distance 2 jumps do not appear consecutively;

(B3) $001 \dots 01$, the third and the last entries are 1 and the rest of entries are all 0, appears next to $100 \dots 01$, the first and the last entries are 1 and the rest of entries are all 0;

(B4) $\epsilon_{2^{2k}-1}$, the second from the last entry, is $001 \dots 0$, the third entry is 1 and the rest of entries are all 0.

Then there is a listing of $V(G(2k+1))$

$$(\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_{2^{2k+1}}) \quad (12)$$

which satisfies:

(A1) $\eta_1 = 00 \dots 00$, all entries are 0, and $\eta_{2^{2k+1}} = 10 \dots 00$, first entry is 1 and the rest of entries are all 0;

(A2) every distance of successive elements is 1 or 2 and distance 2 jumps do not appear consecutively;

(A3) the element $00 \dots 01$, the last entry is 1 and the rest of entries are all 0, appears next to $10 \dots 01$, the first and the last entries are 1 and the rest of entries are all 0;

(A4) $\eta_{2^{2k+1}-1}$, the second from the last entry, is $001 \dots 0$, the third entry is 1 and the rest of entries are all 0.

Proof. Let $\mathbb{L}_{2k-1} = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{2^{2k}})$ be a listing of $V(G(2k))$ which satisfies the conditions from (B1) to (B4). From (B3), we have $\epsilon_i = 10 \dots 01$, the first and the last entries are 1 and the rest of entries are all 0, and $\epsilon_{i+1} = 001 \dots 01$, the third and the last entries are 1 and the rest of entries are all 0, for some $2 \leq i \leq 2^{2k-1} - 2$. Remark that $\epsilon_{2^{2k}-1} = 001 \dots 0$, the third entry is 1 and the rest of entries are all 0.

Let

$$\mathbb{Y}_1 := (\epsilon_1 0, \epsilon_2 0, \dots, \epsilon_i 0) \quad (13)$$

$$\mathbb{Y}_2 := (\epsilon_{2^{2k}} 1, \epsilon_1 1, \epsilon_2 1, \dots, \epsilon_{2^{2k}-2} 1, \epsilon_{2^{2k}-1} 1) \quad (14)$$

$$\mathbb{Y}_3 := (\epsilon_{i+1} 0, \epsilon_{i+2} 0, \dots, \epsilon_{2^{2k}} 0) \quad (15)$$

and consider the listing $\mathbb{Y}_1 \circ \mathbb{Y}_2 \circ \mathbb{Y}_3$. This listing is obviously a listing of $G(2k+1)$. Put $\mathbb{Y}_1 \circ \mathbb{Y}_2 \circ \mathbb{Y}_3 = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_{2^{2k+1}})$.

From the construction, we have $\eta_1 = 00 \dots 00$, all entries are 0, and $\eta_{2^{2k+1}} = 10 \dots 00$, first entry is 1 and the rest of entries are all 0, and we see (A1).

The distance between the last entry of $\mathbb{Y}_1$ and the first entry of $\mathbb{Y}_2$ is 1 and also that of between the last entry of $\mathbb{Y}_2$ and the first entry of $\mathbb{Y}_3$ is 1. Therefore every distance of successive elements is 1 or 2 and distance 2 jumps do not appear consecutively. Hence we obtain (A2).

The first entry of $\mathbb{Y}_2$ is $10 \dots 01$, the first and the last entries are 1 and the rest of entries are all 0, and the second entry is $00 \dots 01$, the last entry is 1 and the rest of entries are all 0 and hence we obtain (A3).

Because $\eta_{2^{2k+1}-1} = \epsilon_{2^{2k}-1} \cdot 0 = 001 \dots 00$, the third entry is 1 and the rest of entries are all 0, we have (A4). This completes the proof. $\square$

Example 3.2. From example 3.1, put

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_1 &= 0000, & \epsilon_2 &= 0100, & \epsilon_3 &= 1100, & \epsilon_4 &= 0110, & \epsilon_5 &= 1110, & \epsilon_6 &= 1010, & \epsilon_7 &= 1001, \\ \epsilon_8 &= 0011, & \epsilon_9 &= 1011, & \epsilon_{10} &= 1111, & \epsilon_{11} &= 0111, & \epsilon_{12} &= 1101, & \epsilon_{13} &= 0101, & \epsilon_{14} &= 0001, \\ \epsilon_{15} &= 0010, & \epsilon_{16} &= 1000. \end{aligned}$$

The listing $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{16})$ satisfies from (B1) to (B4).

Set

$$\mathbb{Y}_1 := (\epsilon_1 0, \epsilon_2 0, \epsilon_3 0, \epsilon_4 0, \epsilon_5 0, \epsilon_6 0, \epsilon_7 0), \quad (16)$$

$$\mathbb{Y}_2 := (\epsilon_{16} 1, \epsilon_1 1, \epsilon_2 1, \epsilon_3 1, \epsilon_4 1, \epsilon_5 1, \epsilon_6 1, \epsilon_7 1, \epsilon_8 1, \epsilon_9 1, \epsilon_{10} 1, \epsilon_{11} 1, \epsilon_{12} 1, \epsilon_{13} 1, \epsilon_{14} 1, \epsilon_{15} 1) \quad (17)$$

and

$$\mathbb{Y}_3 := (\epsilon_8 0, \epsilon_9 0 \epsilon_{10} 0, \epsilon_{11} 0, \epsilon_{12} 0, \epsilon_{13} 0, \epsilon_{14} 0, \epsilon_{15} 0 \epsilon_{16} 0). \quad (18)$$

Then the resulting listing $\mathbb{Y}_1 \circ \mathbb{Y}_2 \circ \mathbb{Y}_3$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_1 &= 00000, & \eta_2 &= 01000, & \eta_3 &= 11000, & \eta_4 &= 01100, & \eta_5 &= 11100, & \eta_6 &= 10100, & \eta_7 &= 10010, \\
\eta_8 &= 10001, & \eta_9 &= 00001, & \eta_{10} &= 01001, & \eta_{11} &= 11001, & \eta_{12} &= 01101, & \eta_{13} &= 11101, & \eta_{14} &= 10101, \\
\eta_{15} &= 10011, & \eta_{16} &= 00111, & \eta_{17} &= 10111, & \eta_{18} &= 11111, & \eta_{19} &= 01111, & \eta_{20} &= 11011, & \eta_{21} &= 01011, \\
\eta_{22} &= 00011, & \eta_{23} &= 00101, & \eta_{24} &= 00110, & \eta_{25} &= 10110, & \eta_{26} &= 11110, & \eta_{27} &= 01110, & \eta_{28} &= 11010, \\
\eta_{29} &= 01010, & \eta_{30} &= 00010, & \eta_{31} &= 00100, & \eta_{32} &= 10000.
\end{aligned}$$

and which satisfies from (A1) to (A4).

Proof. (Proof of Theorem 3.1.)

Put $\mathbb{L}_2 := (00, 01, 11, 10)$ and $\mathbb{L}_3 := (000, 010, 110, 011, 111, 101, 001, 100)$, which satisfies (L1), (L2) and (L3).

From Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2, we can construct a listing $\mathbb{L}_{2k-1}$ for $V(G(2k-1))$ (resp. $\mathbb{L}_{2k}$ for $V(G(2k))$), which satisfies from (A1) to (A4) (resp. from (B1) to (B4)) for $n \geq 2$. Therefore both listing $\mathbb{L}_{2k-1}$ and $\mathbb{L}_{2k}$ satisfy (L1), (L2) and (L3). This completes the proof. $\square$

3.2 A listing which starts from $00 \cdots 0$ and ends $11 \cdots 1$

In this subsection, we construct a listing of the vertices of $G(n)$, which starts $00 \cdots 0$, all entries are 0, and ends $11 \cdots 1$, all entries are 1. Though this listing is not Hamiltonian path, but similar to that. The main result of this subsection is the following.

Theorem 3.2. *There exists a listing for vertices of $G(n)$*

$$(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{2^n}) \tag{19}$$

which satisfies:

- (C1) the first entry ϵ_1 is $00 \cdots 0$, all entries are 0, the second entry ϵ_2 is $10 \cdots 00$, the first entry is 1 and the rest of entries are all 0, and the last entry ϵ_{2^n} is $11 \cdots 1$, all entries are 1;
- (C2) every distance of successive elements is 1 or 2;
- (C3) distance 2 jumps do not appear consecutively;
- (C4) if $\epsilon_t = 00 \cdots 01$, the last entry is 1 and the rest of entries are all 0, for some $1 \leq t \leq 2^n - 1$, then $d_{G(n)}(\epsilon_{t-1}, \epsilon_t) = 1$, in other words, the distance between $00 \cdots 01$ and the one before is 1.

Proof. $V(G(2))$, $V(G(3))$ and $V(G(4))$ has listings

$$\mathbb{M}_2 = (00, 10, 01, 11), \mathbb{M}_3 = (000, 100, 010, 110, 101, 001, 011, 111), \tag{20}$$

and

$$\mathbb{M}_4 = (0000, 1000, 0100, 1100, 1010, 0010, 0001, 1001, 0110, 1110, 1101, 0101, 0011, 1011, 0111, 1111), \tag{21}$$

which satisfy the conditions from (C1) to (C4). We consider the case of $n \geq 5$. Suppose that Theorem 3.2 holds for $(n-1)$.

For $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \{0, 1\}$, let $G_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}(n)$ be the induced subgraph of $G(n)$ on the vertex set $\{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 \cdots \epsilon_{n-2}\alpha_1\alpha_2 \mid \epsilon_i = 0 \text{ or } 1\}$. Obviously, $V(G(n)) = V(G_{00}(n)) \cup V(G_{01}(n)) \cup V(G_{10}(n)) \cup V(G_{11}(n))$ and $G_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}(n)$ is isomorphic to $G(n-2)$.

By the induction hypothesis, $V(G(n-2))$, the set of vertices of $G(n-2)$, has a listing

$$(\boldsymbol{\eta}_1, \boldsymbol{\eta}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{\eta}_{2^{n-2}}) \quad (22)$$

which satisfies from (C1) to (C4).

Define listings of $V(G_{00}(n))$ and $V(G_{11}(n))$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{00}(n) &= (\boldsymbol{\eta}_1 00, \boldsymbol{\eta}_2 00, \dots, \boldsymbol{\eta}_{2^{n-2}} 00), \\ \mathbf{P}_{11}(n) &= (\boldsymbol{\eta}_1 11, \boldsymbol{\eta}_2 11, \dots, \boldsymbol{\eta}_{2^{n-2}} 11). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Let $E(G_{01}(n))$ and $E(G_{10}(n))$ be the edge sets of $G_{01}(n)$ and $G_{10}(n)$ respectively. Consider the subgraph on $V(G_{01}(n)) \cup V(G_{10}(n))$ whose edge set is $E(G_{01}(n)) \cup E(G_{10}(n)) \cup \{\{\boldsymbol{\eta}_i 01, \boldsymbol{\eta}_i 10\} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-2}\}$.

Remark that

$$d_{G(n)}(\boldsymbol{\eta}_i 01, \boldsymbol{\eta}_i 10) = 1 \quad (24)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-2}$.

Let $\boldsymbol{\eta}_M = 11 \cdots 10 \in G(n-2)$, first $(n-3)$ entries are 1 and the last entry is 0, and $\boldsymbol{\eta}_N = 00 \cdots 01 \in G(n-2)$, first $(n-3)$ entries are 0 and the last entry is 1.

For $n = 5$, from the listing $\mathbb{M}_3$, the fourth entry is 110 and the sixth entry is 001 and hence $M - N = 2$. Obviously, for $n \geq 6$, we have

$$|M - N| \geq 2 \quad (25)$$

because $d_{G(n-2)}(\boldsymbol{\eta}_M, \boldsymbol{\eta}_N) \geq 4$ and in $V(G(n-2))$, each distance of successive vertices are at most 2.

We have

$$d_{G(n)}(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{2^{n-2}} 00, \boldsymbol{\eta}_M 10) = 1, \quad d_{G(n)}(\boldsymbol{\eta}_N 01, \boldsymbol{\eta}_1 11) = 1 \quad (26)$$

because $\boldsymbol{\eta}_1 = 00 \cdots 00$ and $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{2^{n-2}} = 11 \cdots 11$.

From (C1) and (C4), we see

$$d_{G(n-2)}(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{N-1}, \boldsymbol{\eta}_N) = 1, \quad d_{G(n-2)}(\boldsymbol{\eta}_1, \boldsymbol{\eta}_2) = 1 \quad (27)$$

Next we construct a listing for $V(G_{01}(n)) \cup V(G_{10}(n))$. There are four cases:

- (1) $M > N$ with $M - N \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$;
- (2) $M > N$ with $M - N \in 2\mathbb{Z}$;
- (3) $M < N$ with $M - N \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$;
- (4) $M < N$ with $M - N \in 2\mathbb{Z}$.

We construct a listing of $V(G_{01}(n)) \cup V(G_{10}(n))$ from case by case argument.

Case (1): The case of $M > N$ with $M - N \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$.

Define three listings

$$\mathbf{Lower}(n) = (\eta_M 10, \eta_{M+1} 10, \dots, \eta_{2^{n-2}} 10, \eta_{2^{n-2}01}, \eta_{2^{n-2}-1} 01, \dots, \eta_M 01), \quad (28)$$

$$\mathbf{Zigzag}(n) = (\eta_{M-1} 01, \eta_{M-1} 10, \eta_{M-2} 10, \eta_{M-2} 01, \eta_{M-3} 01, \dots, \eta_{N+2} 01, \eta_{N+2} 10, \eta_{N+1} 10, \eta_{N+1} 01) \quad (29)$$

and

$$\mathbf{Upper}(n) = (\eta_N 01, \eta_{N-1} 01, \dots, \eta_1 01, \eta_1 10, \eta_2 10, \dots, \eta_N 10). \quad (30)$$

From inequality (25), $\mathbf{Zigzag}(n)$ is not empty set. Remark that $\mathbf{Zigzag}(n)$ ends not at $\eta_{N+1} 10$ but at $\eta_{N+1} 01$ because $M - N \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$.

Figure 2 shows an image of $\mathbf{Lower}(n)$, $\mathbf{Zigzag}(n)$ and $\mathbf{Upper}(n)$.

Then the concatenation

$$\mathbf{P}_{00}(n) \circ \mathbf{Lower}(n) \circ \mathbf{Zigzag}(n) \circ \mathbf{Upper}(n) \circ \mathbf{P}_{11}(n) \quad (31)$$

is a listing of $V(G(n))$. Put $\mathbf{P}_{00}(n) \circ \mathbf{Lower}(n) \circ \mathbf{Zigzag}(n) \circ \mathbf{Upper}(n) \circ \mathbf{P}_{11}(n) = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{2^n})$.

We have $\epsilon_1 = \eta_1 00 = 00 \dots 0$, $\epsilon_2 = \eta_2 00 = 10 \dots 00$ and $\epsilon_{2^n} = \eta_{2^{n-2}} 11 = 11 \dots 1$. Hence the listing satisfies (C1).

From induction hypothesis on (C2), every distance of successive elements in $\mathbf{P}_{00}(n)$ and $\mathbf{P}_{11}(n)$ is 1 or 2 and that of the concatenation $\mathbf{Lower}(n) \circ \mathbf{Zigzag}(n) \circ \mathbf{Upper}(n)$ is also 1 or 2 from the construction and equation (24). Now we have $d(\eta_{2^{n-2}} 00, \eta_M 10) = 1$ and $d(\eta_N 10, \eta_1 11) = 2$ and hence every distance of successive vertices at the concatenation $\mathbf{P}_{00}(n) \circ \mathbf{Lower}(n) \circ \mathbf{Zigzag}(n) \circ \mathbf{Upper}(n) \circ \mathbf{P}_{11}(n)$ is at most 2 and it satisfies (C2).

From the induction hypothesis on (C3) and $d(\eta_{2^{n-2}} 00, \eta_M 10) = 1$, distance 2 jumps do not appear in $\mathbf{P}_{00}(n) \circ \mathbf{Lower}(n) \circ \mathbf{Zigzag}(n) \circ \mathbf{Upper}(n)$ successively.

We have $d_{G(n)}(\eta_{N-1} 10, \eta_N 10) = 1$, $d(\eta_N 10, \eta_1 11) = 2$ and $d_{G(n)}((\eta_1 11, \eta_2 11) = 1$ from the equation (27). Therefore distance 2 jumps do not appear in $\mathbf{P}_{00}(n) \circ \mathbf{Lower}(n) \circ \mathbf{Zigzag}(n) \circ \mathbf{Upper}(n) \circ \mathbf{P}_{11}(n)$ successively and this satisfies (C3).

From the construction, we see $\eta_1 01 = 00 \dots 01$, which is an element in $\mathbf{Upper}(n)$, and the one before is $\eta_2 01 = 10 \dots 01$, the first and the last entries are 1 and the rest of entries are all 0, and their distance is 1. Therefore the concatenation satisfies (C4).

Case (2): The case of $M > N$ with $M - N \in 2\mathbb{Z}$.

Define three listings

$$\mathbf{Lower}(n) = (\eta_M 10, \eta_{M+1} 10, \dots, \eta_{2^{n-2}} 10, \eta_{2^{n-2}01}, \eta_{2^{n-2}-1} 01, \dots, \eta_M 01), \quad (32)$$

$$\mathbf{Zigzag}(n) = (\eta_{M-1} 01, \eta_{M-1} 10, \eta_{M-2} 10, \eta_{M-2} 01, \eta_{M-3} 01, \dots, \eta_{N+2} 10, \eta_{N+2} 01, \eta_{N+1} 01, \eta_{N+1} 10) \quad (33)$$




Figure 2: Image of the $\mathbf{P}_{00}(n)$, $\mathbf{Lower}(n)$, $\mathbf{Zigzag}(n)$, $\mathbf{Upper}(n)$ and $\mathbf{P}_{11}(n)$ in Case 1.

and

$$\mathbf{Upper}(n) = (\eta_N 10, \eta_{N-1} 10, \dots, \eta_1 10, \eta_1 01, \eta_2 01, \dots, \eta_N 01). \quad (34)$$

From inequality (25), $\mathbf{Zigzag}(n)$ is not empty set. Remark that $\mathbf{Zigzag}(n)$ ends at $\eta_{N+1} 10$, not at $\eta_{N+1} 01$, because $M - N \in 2\mathbb{Z}$.

Figure 3 shows an image of $\mathbf{Lower}(n)$, $\mathbf{Zigzag}(n)$ and $\mathbf{Upper}(n)$.

Then the concatenation

$$\mathbf{P}_{00}(n) \circ \mathbf{Lower}(n) \circ \mathbf{Zigzag}(n) \circ \mathbf{Upper}(n) \circ \mathbf{P}_{11}(n) \quad (35)$$

is a listing of $V(G(n))$. Put $\mathbf{P}_{00}(n) \circ \mathbf{Lower}(n) \circ \mathbf{Zigzag}(n) \circ \mathbf{Upper}(n) \circ \mathbf{P}_{11}(n) = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{2^n})$.

We have $\epsilon_1 = \eta_1 00 = 00 \dots 0$, $\epsilon_2 = \eta_2 00 = 10 \dots 00$ and $\epsilon_{2^n} = \eta_{2^{n-2}} 11 = 11 \dots 1$. Hence the listing satisfies (C1).

From the induction hypothesis on (C2), every distance of successive elements in $\mathbf{P}_{00}(n)$ and $\mathbf{P}_{11}(n)$ is at most 2 and that of the concatenation $\mathbf{Lower}(n) \circ \mathbf{Zigzag}(n) \circ \mathbf{Upper}(n)$ is also at most 2 from the construction and equation (24).

From equation (26), we see $d_{G(n)}(\eta_{2^{n-2}} 00, \eta_M 10) = d_{G(n)}(\eta_N 01, \eta_1 11) = 1$ and hence the listing satisfies (C2).

From the induction hypothesis on (C3) and equation (24), the distance 2 jumps do not appear successively in $\mathbf{P}_{00}(n)$, $\mathbf{P}_{11}(n)$ and $\mathbf{Lower}(n) \circ \mathbf{Zigzag}(n) \circ \mathbf{Upper}(n)$. From equation (26), we see $d_{G(n)}(\eta_{2^{n-2}} 00, \eta_M 10) = 1$ and $d_{G(n)}(\eta_N 01, \eta_1 11) = 1$. Therefore the distance 2 jumps do not appear successively in the listing and this satisfies (C3).

Finally, we see $\eta_1 01 = 00 \dots 01$ and the one before is $\eta_1 10 = 00 \dots 10$ from the construction. Their distance is 1 and the concatenation also satisfies (C4).

Case (3): The case of $M < N$ with $M - N \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$.




Figure 3: Image of the $\mathbf{P}_{00}(n)$, $\mathbf{Lower}(n)$, $\mathbf{Zigzag}(n)$, $\mathbf{Upper}(n)$ and $\mathbf{P}_{11}(n)$ in Case 2.

Define three listings

$$\mathbf{Upper}(n) = (\eta_M 10, \eta_{M-1} 10, \dots, \eta_1 10, \eta_1 01, \eta_2 01, \dots, \eta_M 01). \quad (36)$$

$$\mathbf{Zigzag}(n) = (\eta_{M+1} 01, \eta_{M+1} 10, \eta_{M+2} 10, \eta_{M+2} 01, \eta_{M+3} 01, \dots, \eta_{N-2} 01, \eta_{N-2} 10, \eta_{N-1} 10) \quad (37)$$

and

$$\mathbf{Lower}(n) = (\eta_N 10, \eta_{N+1} 10, \dots, \eta_{2^{n-2}} 10, \eta_{2^{n-2}} 01, \eta_{2^{n-2}-1} 01, \dots, \eta_N 01, \eta_{N-1} 01). \quad (38)$$

From inequality (25), $\mathbf{Zigzag}(n)$ is not empty set. Remark that $\mathbf{Zigzag}(n)$ ends at $\eta_{N-1} 10$, not at $\eta_{N-1} 01$, because $M - N \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$.

Then the concatenation

$$\mathbf{P}_{00}(n) \circ \mathbf{Upper}(n) \circ \mathbf{Zigzag}(n) \circ \mathbf{Lower}(n) \circ \mathbf{P}_{11}(n) \quad (39)$$

is a listing of $V(G(n))$.

Figure 4 shows an image of $\mathbf{Lower}(n)$, $\mathbf{Zigzag}(n)$ and $\mathbf{Upper}(n)$.

Put $\mathbf{P}_{00}(n) \circ \mathbf{Upper}(n) \circ \mathbf{Zigzag}(n) \circ \mathbf{Lower}(n) \circ \mathbf{P}_{11}(n) = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{2^n})$.

We have $\epsilon_1 = \eta_1 00 = 00 \dots 0$, $\epsilon_2 = \eta_2 00 = 10 \dots 00$ and $\epsilon_{2^n} = \eta_{2^{n-2}} 11 = 11 \dots 1$. Hence the listing satisfies (C1).

From the induction hypothesis on (C2), every distance of successive elements in $\mathbf{P}_{00}(n)$ and $\mathbf{P}_{11}(n)$ is 1 or 2 and that of the concatenation $\mathbf{Upper}(n) \circ \mathbf{Zigzag}(n) \circ \mathbf{Lower}(n)$ is also 1 or 2 from the construction and equation (24). From equation (26), we see $d_{G(n)}(\eta_{2^{n-2}} 00, \eta_M 10) = 1$ and $d_{G(n)}(\eta_{N-1} 01, \eta_1 11) = d_{G(n)}(\eta_{N-1} 01, \eta_N 01) + d_{G(n)}(\eta_N 01, \eta_1 11) = 2$. Therefore every distance of

successive vertices of $\mathbf{P}_{00}(n) \circ \mathbf{Upper}(n) \circ \mathbf{Zigzag}(n) \circ \mathbf{Lower}(n) \circ \mathbf{P}_{11}(n)$ is 1 or 2. The listing satisfies (C2).

By equation (26), we see $d_{G(n)}(\eta_{2^{n-2}00}, \eta_M 10) = 1$ and from the induction hypothesis on (C3), distance 2 jumps do not appear consecutively in $\mathbf{P}_{00}(n) \circ \mathbf{Upper}(n) \circ \mathbf{Zigzag}(n) \circ \mathbf{Lower}(n)$ and $\mathbf{P}_{11}(n)$. Now the distance between the last entry of $\mathbf{Lower}(n)$, that is $\eta_{N-1}01$, and the first entry of $\mathbf{P}_{11}(n)$, that is $\eta_1 11$, is 2. From equation (27), we see $d_{G(n)}(\eta_{N-1}01, \eta_N 01) = 1$ and $d_{G(n)}(\eta_1 11, \eta_2 11) = 1$. Therefore the distance 2 jumps do not appear consecutively in $\mathbf{P}_{00}(n) \circ \mathbf{Upper}(n) \circ \mathbf{Zigzag}(n) \circ \mathbf{Lower}(n) \circ \mathbf{P}_{11}(n)$ and the listing satisfies (C3).

From the construction, we see $\eta_1 01 = 00 \cdots 01$ and the one before is $\eta_1 10 = 00 \cdots 10$ and hence their distance is 1. Therefore the listing satisfies (C4).




Figure 4: Image of the $\mathbf{P}_{00}(n)$, $\mathbf{Lower}(n)$, $\mathbf{Zigzag}(n)$, $\mathbf{Upper}(n)$ and $\mathbf{P}_{11}(n)$ in Case 3.

Case (4): The case of $M < N$ with $M - N \in 2\mathbb{Z}$.

Define three listings

$$\mathbf{Upper}(n) = (\eta_M 10, \eta_{M-1} 10, \dots, \eta_1 10, \eta_1 01, \eta_2 01, \dots, \eta_M 01), \quad (40)$$

$$\mathbf{Zigzag}(n) = (\eta_{M+1} 01, \eta_{M+1} 10, \eta_{M+2} 10, \eta_{M+2} 01, \eta_{M+3} 01, \dots, \eta_{N-2} 10, \eta_{N-2} 01, \eta_{N-1} 01, \eta_{N-1} 10) \quad (41)$$

and

$$\mathbf{Lower}(n) = (\eta_N 10, \eta_{N+1} 10, \dots, \eta_{2^{n-2}} 10, \eta_{2^{n-2}} 01, \eta_{2^{n-2}-1} 01, \dots, \eta_{N+1} 01, \eta_N 01). \quad (42)$$

From inequality (25), $\mathbf{Zigzag}(n)$ is not empty set. Remark that $\mathbf{Zigzag}(n)$ ends at $\eta_{N-1} 10$, not at $\eta_{N-1} 01$, because $M - N \in 2\mathbb{Z}$.

Then the concatenation

$$\mathbf{P}_{00}(n) \circ \mathbf{Upper}(n) \circ \mathbf{Zigzag}(n) \circ \mathbf{Lower}(n) \circ \mathbf{P}_{11}(n) \quad (43)$$

is a listing of $V(G(n))$.

Figure 5 shows an image of $\mathbf{Lower}(n)$, $\mathbf{Zigzag}(n)$ and $\mathbf{Upper}(n)$.

Put $\mathbf{P}_{00}(n) \circ \mathbf{Upper}(n) \circ \mathbf{Zigzag}(n) \circ \mathbf{Lower}(n) \circ \mathbf{P}_{11}(n) = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{2^n})$.

We have $\epsilon_1 = \eta_1 00 = 00 \dots 0$, $\epsilon_2 = \eta_2 00 = 10 \dots 00$ and $\epsilon_{2^n} = \eta_{2^{n-2}} 11 = 11 \dots 1$. Hence the listing satisfies (C1).

From the induction hypothesis on (C2), every distance of successive elements in $\mathbf{P}_{00}(n)$ and $\mathbf{P}_{11}(n)$ is 1 or 2 and that of the concatenation $\mathbf{Upper}(n) \circ \mathbf{Zigzag}(n) \circ \mathbf{Lower}(n)$ is also 1 or 2 from the construction and equation (24). From equation (26), we see $d_{G(n)}(\eta_{2^{n-2}} 00, \eta_M 10) = 1$ and $d_{G(n)}(\eta_N 01, \eta_1 11) = 1$ and hence the concatenation $\mathbf{P}_{00}(n) \circ \mathbf{Upper}(n) \circ \mathbf{Zigzag}(n) \circ \mathbf{Lower}(n) \circ \mathbf{P}_{11}(n)$ satisfies (C2).

From the induction hypothesis on (C3), distance 2 jumps do not appear consecutively in $\mathbf{P}_{00}(n)$, $\mathbf{P}_{11}(n)$ and $\mathbf{Upper}(n) \circ \mathbf{Zigzag}(n) \circ \mathbf{Lower}(n)$. The distance between the last entry of $\mathbf{P}_{11}(n)$, that is $\eta_{2^{n-2}} 00$, and the first entry of $\mathbf{Upper}(n)$, that is $\eta_M 10$, is 1. Also that of the last entry of $\mathbf{Lower}(n)$, that is $\eta_N 01$, and the first entry of $\mathbf{P}_{11}(n)$, that is $\eta_1 11$ is 1. Therefore the concatenation satisfies (C3).

Finally, we see $\eta_1 01 = 00 \dots 01$ and the one before is $\eta_1 10 = 00 \dots 10$ from the construction. Their is 1 and the listing satisfies (C4).

Now we have constructed a listing of $V(G(n))$ which satisfies from (C1) to (C4) from case by case argument. This completes the proof.




Figure 5: Image of the $\mathbf{P}_{00}(n)$, $\mathbf{Lower}(n)$, $\mathbf{Zigzag}(n)$, $\mathbf{Upper}(n)$ and $\mathbf{P}_{11}(n)$ in Case 4.

□

Example 3.3. We construct a listing of $V(G(5))$. Put $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_8) = (000, 100, 010, 110, 101, 001, 011, 111)\mathbb{M}_3$. From equation 23, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}_{00}(5) &= (\epsilon_1 00, \epsilon_2 00, \dots, \epsilon_8 00), \\
\mathbf{P}_{11}(5) &= (\epsilon_1 11, \epsilon_2 11, \dots, \epsilon_8 11).
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

We see $\epsilon_4 = 110$ and $\epsilon_6 = 001$, hence from the case (4), put

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Upper}(5) &= (\epsilon_4 10, \epsilon_3 10, \epsilon_2 10, \epsilon_1 10, \epsilon_1 01, \epsilon_2 01, \epsilon_3 01, \epsilon_4 01), \\
\mathbf{Zigzag}(5) &= (\epsilon_5 01, \epsilon_5 10), \\
\mathbf{Lower}(5) &= (\epsilon_6 10, \epsilon_7 10, \epsilon_8 10, \epsilon_8 01, \epsilon_7 01, \epsilon_6 01).
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

The resulting listing $(\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_{32}) = \mathbf{P}_{00}(5) \circ \mathbf{Upper}(5) \circ \mathbf{Zigzag}(5) \circ \mathbf{Lower}(5) \circ \mathbf{P}_{11}(5)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_1 &= 00000, & \eta_2 &= 10000, & \eta_3 &= 01000, & \eta_4 &= 11000, & \eta_5 &= 10100, & \eta_6 &= 00100, & \eta_7 &= 01100, \\
\eta_8 &= 11100, & \eta_9 &= 11010, & \eta_{10} &= 01010, & \eta_{11} &= 10010, & \eta_{12} &= 00010, & \eta_{13} &= 00001, & \eta_{14} &= 10001, \\
\eta_{15} &= 01001, & \eta_{16} &= 11001, & \eta_{17} &= 10101, & \eta_{18} &= 10110, & \eta_{19} &= 00110, & \eta_{20} &= 01110, & \eta_{21} &= 11110, \\
\eta_{22} &= 11101, & \eta_{23} &= 01101, & \eta_{24} &= 00101, & \eta_{25} &= 00011, & \eta_{26} &= 10011, & \eta_{27} &= 01011, & \eta_{28} &= 11011, \\
\eta_{29} &= 10111, & \eta_{30} &= 00111, & \eta_{31} &= 01111, & \eta_{32} &= 11111.
\end{aligned}$$

4 Two Gray codes for 132-312-avoiding permutations

In this section, we construct two Gray codes for $S_n(132, 312)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The 132-312 avoiding permutations is called Gilbreath permutations.

First we construct a bijection from $V(G(n-1))$ to $S_n(132, 312)$ which preserves minimal changes of these objects.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ and $\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \dots \epsilon_{n-1} \in V(G(n-1))$, define a permutation $\Psi(\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \dots \epsilon_{n-1}) := a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ such that

$$a_1 = |\{j | \epsilon_j = 1, 1 \leq j \leq n-1\}| + 1, \tag{46}$$

if $i \geq 1$ and $\epsilon_i = 0$, then

$$a_{i+1} = a_1 + |\{j | \epsilon_j = 0, 1 \leq j \leq i\}| \tag{47}$$

and if $i \geq 1$ and $\epsilon_i = 1$, then

$$a_{i+1} = |\{j | \epsilon_j = 1, i \leq j \leq n-1\}|. \tag{48}$$

For example, $\Psi(1001011) = 54673821$ and $\Psi(0001011) = 45673821$. It is straightforward to check that Ψ is a bijection from $V(G(n-1))$ to $S_n(132, 312)$.

Proposition 4.1. *If $\epsilon = \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \dots \epsilon_{n-1}$ and $\eta = \eta_1 \eta_2 \dots \eta_{n-1}$ are adjacent in $G(n-1)$, then the corresponding permutations $\Psi(\epsilon)$ and $\Psi(\eta)$ differ by an adjacent transposition.*

Proof. If $\epsilon_i \neq \epsilon_{i+1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ can be obtained by interchanging ϵ_i and ϵ_{i+1} , then $\Psi(\boldsymbol{\eta})$ can be obtained by interchanging $(i+1)$ -th entry and $(i+2)$ -th entry of $\Psi(\boldsymbol{\epsilon})$ in one-line notation.

Suppose that $\epsilon_1 \neq \eta_1$ and $\epsilon_j = \eta_j$ for $2 \leq j \leq n-1$. Then $\Psi(\boldsymbol{\eta})$ can be obtained by interchanging the first entry and the second entry of $\Psi(\boldsymbol{\epsilon})$ in one-line notation. □

From Theorem 3.1 and the definition of Ψ , we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *There exists a listing of $S_n(213, 312)$*

$$(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{2^{n-1}}) \quad (49)$$

which satisfies:

(P1) $\mathbf{a}_1 = 1234 \cdots (n-1)n$, the identity permutation, and $\mathbf{a}_{2^{n-1}} = 2134 \cdots (n-1)n$;

(P2) two consecutive elements differ at most two adjacent transpositions;

(P3) if $\mathbf{a}_i$ and $\mathbf{a}_{i+1}$ differ by two adjacent transpositions, then $\mathbf{a}_{i-1}$ and $\mathbf{a}_i$ differ by an adjacent transposition and also $\mathbf{a}_{i+1}$ and $\mathbf{a}_{i+2}$ differ by an adjacent transposition.

Example 4.1. From Example 3.2, we obtain a following listing $(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_1)$ for $S_5(132, 312)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_1 &= 123456, & \mathbf{a}_2 &= 231456, & \mathbf{a}_3 &= 321456, & \mathbf{a}_4 &= 342156, & \mathbf{a}_5 &= 432156, & \mathbf{a}_6 &= 324156, \\ \mathbf{a}_7 &= 324516, & \mathbf{a}_8 &= 324561, & \mathbf{a}_9 &= 234561, & \mathbf{a}_{10} &= 342561, & \mathbf{a}_{11} &= 432561, & \mathbf{a}_{12} &= 453261, \\ \mathbf{a}_{13} &= 543261, & \mathbf{a}_{14} &= 435261, & \mathbf{a}_{15} &= 435621, & \mathbf{a}_{16} &= 456321, & \mathbf{a}_{17} &= 546321, & \mathbf{a}_{18} &= 654321, \\ \mathbf{a}_{19} &= 564321, & \mathbf{a}_{20} &= 543621, & \mathbf{a}_{21} &= 453621, & \mathbf{a}_{22} &= 345621, & \mathbf{a}_{23} &= 345261, & \mathbf{a}_{24} &= 345216, \\ \mathbf{a}_{25} &= 435216, & \mathbf{a}_{26} &= 543216, & \mathbf{a}_{27} &= 453216, & \mathbf{a}_{28} &= 432516, & \mathbf{a}_{29} &= 342516, & \mathbf{a}_{30} &= 234516, \\ \mathbf{a}_{31} &= 234156, & \mathbf{a}_{32} &= 213456. \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 3.2 and the definition of Ψ , we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.2. *There exists a listing of $S_n(213, 312)$*

$$(\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{2^{n-1}}) \quad (50)$$

which satisfies:

(Q1) $\mathbf{b}_1 = 12 \cdots (n-2)(n-1)n$, the identity permutation, and $\mathbf{b}_{2^{n-1}} = n(n-1)(n-2) \cdots 21$, the permutation formed by reversing the identity;

(Q2) two consecutive elements differ at most two adjacent transpositions;

(Q3) if $\mathbf{b}_i$ and $\mathbf{b}_{i+1}$ differ by two adjacent transpositions, then $\mathbf{b}_{i-1}$ and $\mathbf{b}_i$ differ by an adjacent transposition and also $\mathbf{b}_{i+1}$ and $\mathbf{b}_{i+2}$ differ by an adjacent transposition.

Example 4.2. From Example 3.3, see

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_1 &= 123456, & \mathbf{a}_2 &= 213456, & \mathbf{a}_3 &= 231456, & \mathbf{a}_4 &= 321456, & \mathbf{a}_5 &= 324156, & \mathbf{a}_6 &= 234156, \\ \mathbf{a}_7 &= 342156, & \mathbf{a}_8 &= 432156, & \mathbf{a}_9 &= 432516, & \mathbf{a}_{10} &= 342516, & \mathbf{a}_{11} &= 324516, & \mathbf{a}_{12} &= 234516, \\ \mathbf{a}_{13} &= 234561, & \mathbf{a}_{14} &= 324561, & \mathbf{a}_{15} &= 342561, & \mathbf{a}_{16} &= 432561, & \mathbf{a}_{17} &= 435261, & \mathbf{a}_{18} &= 435216, \\ \mathbf{a}_{19} &= 345216, & \mathbf{a}_{20} &= 453216, & \mathbf{a}_{21} &= 543216, & \mathbf{a}_{22} &= 543261, & \mathbf{a}_{23} &= 453261, & \mathbf{a}_{24} &= 345261, \\ \mathbf{a}_{25} &= 345621, & \mathbf{a}_{26} &= 435621, & \mathbf{a}_{27} &= 453621, & \mathbf{a}_{28} &= 543621, & \mathbf{a}_{29} &= 546321, & \mathbf{a}_{30} &= 456321, \\ \mathbf{a}_{31} &= 564321, & \mathbf{a}_{32} &= 654321. \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgment

A part of this paper, Section 3.2, is based on my presentation at Permutation Patterns 2015. The author wish to thank Tomoki Yamashita for helpful discussion.

References

- [1] J. L. Baril, More restrictive Gray codes for some class of pattern avoiding permutations, *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 109 (14) 799-804, 2009.
- [2] A. Bernini, E. Grazzini, E. Pergola and R. Pinzani, A general exhaustive generation algorithm for Gray structures, *Acta Informatica*, 44:5 (2007) 361- 376.
- [3] M. Bóna, *Combinatorics of Permutations*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press Chapman Hall, 2004.
- [4] R. Diestel, *Graph Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 173, Springer, New York, 2010.
- [5] W.M.B. Dukes, M. F. Flanagan, T. Mansour, V. Vajnovszki, Combinatorial Gray codes for classes of pattern avoiding permutations, *Theoretical Computer Sciences*, 396, 35-49, 2008.
- [6] J. T. Joichi, D. E. White, S. G. Williamson, Combinatorial Gray codes, *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 9,130-141,1980.
- [7] A. Juarna, V. Vajnovszki, Some generalizations of a Simion-Schmidt bijection, *Comput. J*, 50, 574-580, 2007.
- [8] D. Knuth, *The art of computer programming, I: Fundamental algorithms*. Addison-Wesley, Publishing Co, Reading, Mass-London-Don Mills, Ont, 1969
- [9] D. Knuth, *The art of computer programming, III: Sorting and searching*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1973.
- [10] Carla Savage, A Survey of Combinatorial Gray Codes, *SIAM Review*, v.39 n.4, p.605-629, Dec, 1997.
- [11] R. Simion, F. Schmidt, Restricted Permutations, *European J. Combin*, 6(4), 383-406, 1985.